United 9	STATES DISTR	CICT COURT
EASTERN	District of	MICHIGAN
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	_	
V.	ORDE	CR OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
KERMIT LAMONT REEVES,	Case	4:06-CR-20334-2FL
RERWIT LAWIONT REEVES,	Case	*AMENDED ORDER*
		AMENDED ORDER
	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing	has been held. I conclude that the following facts require
	Part I—Findings of Fac	et
or local offense that would have been a federal of a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C.	offense if a circumstance givin § 3156(a)(4).	and has been convicted of a federal offense stat ng rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
an offense for which the maximum sentence an offense for which a maximum term of in		
a felony that was committed after the defend	dant had been convicted of tw	o or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-©, or comparable state or le		
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was commit (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed for the offense described in finding (1).		on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. ction release of the defendant from imprisonment
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebutta safety of (an) other person(s) and the community	y. I further find that the defen	
(1) TIL	Alternative Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defen		
for which a maximum term of imprisonmen under 18 U.S.C. § 924©.		
the appearance of the defendant as required and		condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assur
and appearance of the defendant as required and	Alternative Findings (B)	
X (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	=	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will en (*)	danger the safety of another p	erson or the community.
Part II—Wı	ritten Statement of Reason	ns for Detention
I find that the credible testimony and information so derance of the evidence that	abmitted at the hearing establi	shes by clear and convincing evidence X a prepon-
		ates that the defendant has been unable to account for his
whereabouts for the period of time from 1999 until the p		
is the occupant of the residence stated that he had not se		etrial Officer also notes that the defendant gave un-truthful
information denying that he did not live in Canada. Bas		
personal background information concerning this defend		
of bond may be raised again should further information	come to light in favor of the d	lefendant. The defendant shall be detained without
bond pending trial in this matter. IT IS SO ORDERED. * (Removes voluntary consent to detention by defend	lant)	
		Detention
The defendant is committed to the custody of the A separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaitin afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation	ng or serving sentences or being n with defense counsel. On or	nated representative for confinement in a corrections facility ng held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be der of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an attorney defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an attorney defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an attorney defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an attorney defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an attorney defendant shall be defended at the united States marshal for the purpose of an attorney defendant shall be defended at the united States marshal for the purpose of an attorney defendant shall be defended at the united States marshall for the purpose of an attorney defendant shall be defended at the united States marshall for the purpose of an attorney defendant shall be defended at the united States marshall for the purpose of an attorney defendant shall be defended at the united States marshall for the purpose of an attorney defendant shall be defended at the united States marshall for the purpose of an attorney defendant shall be defended at the united States marshall for the purpose of an attorney defendant shall be defended at the united States marshall for the purpose of at the united States marshall for the united States marshall for the purpose of at the united States marshall for the united States ma
Date: <u>November 8, 2006</u>	_/W/ 11	a Capal Jr

s/ Wallace Capel, Jr.

Name and Title of Judge

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or © Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 8, 2006 , I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send such notification of such filing to the following: Robert W. Haviland, AUSA, Frank Manley, III, Esq., and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service/hand delivered the paper to the following non-ECF participants: United States Marshal Service, 600 Church St., Flint, MI, 48502, Pretrial Services Officer, 600 Church St., Flint, MI 48502.

s/James P. Peltier
James P. Peltier
Courtroom Deputy Clerk
U.S.District Court
600 Church St.
Flint, MI 48502
810-341-7850
pete peltier@mied.uscourts.gov